

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/629,308	07/29/2003	Zhong Zhang	TPIP018	6429
23122 RATNERPRES	7590 12/22/2006 TTIA	5	EXAMINER	
P O BOX 980		GEMBEH, SHIRLEY V		
VALLEY FOR	GE, PA 19482-0980	-	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER .
			1614	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/22/2006	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/629,308	ZHANG ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Shirley V. Gembeh	1614

Advisory Action	10/629,308 ZHANG ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Shirley V. Gembeh	1614			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 21 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.			
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or the statutory period for reply expire to the statutory period for reply expire to the statutory period for reply expired to the statutory period for reply	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.		
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		FLIVOL VELFT MASE	ILED WITHIN		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	, will not be entered b	ecause		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in begappeal; and/or			the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a</li> </ol>		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the		
non-allowable claim(s).					
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ii be entered and an t	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6,8-14 and 17-24</u> .					
Claim(s) rejected. 1-0,0-14 and 17-24.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	It before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	hed.		
11. 🛛 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
See Continuation Sheet.  12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).				
13. Other:	(				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the amendment with regards to claim 1 does not place the application in better form for allowance because adding the word "additional excipients" to the claim makes it more confussing as to how many excipients are added. The claim prior to amendment had "one or more excipients" the amended claims now read "one or more additional excipients". Applicant has failed to identify the difference between "one or more excipients" and "one or more additional excipients", there is no explanation of the difference, therefore raises a 112-2 indefinite issue of the additional language.

With regards to the new issue, on pages 2 and 6 of the specification as pointed out by Applicant does not contain a sterile aqueous solution with poloxamer 188 and and one or more additional excipeient stored under the conditions cited in the amended claim 1. Therefore the rejection is maintained.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The rejection of 112-1 remain rejected especially with the term excipients for example in claim 1 as explained in the action of record. Addition of one or more exipients does not explain what constitutes these exipients. The rejection is maintained.

With regards to the obviosness rejection (provisional) is maintained in this office action and future until withdrawn.

ARDIN H. MARSCHEL

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER